

USPAS 2015: East Brunswick, Rutgers

**Energy Calibration:
Spin Dynamics, Absolute Energy
Measurement, Momentum Compaction**

Christoph Steier


Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Outline

- Introduction
- Beam Energy Stability
 - Spin Dynamics
 - Energy Calibration
 - Tides, TGV, ...
- Summary

Introduction (Colliders)

- In electron-positron collisions the particles annihilate and all the energy in the center of mass system is available for the generation of elementary particles.
- Such particle generation is enhanced if there is a particle with rest mass equal to the collision energy.
- The energy of the colliding beams can be tuned to the rest mass of a known particle for studying its properties, or can be scanned for the research of unknown particles.



Center of Mass System (some special relativity)

- Two particles have equal rest mass m_0 .

Center of Mass Frame (CMF): Velocities are equal and opposite, total energy is E_{cm} .



$$P_1 = (E_{CM}/2c, p)$$

$$P_2 = (E_{CM}/2c, -p)$$

Laboratory frame (LF):

$$\tilde{P}_1 = (E_1/2c, p_1)$$

$$\tilde{P}_2 = (E_2/2c, p_2)$$

- The quantity $(P_1 + P_2)^2$ is invariant.
- In the CMF, we have $(P_1 + P_2)^2 = E_{CM}^2/c^2$
- While in the LF: $(\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2)^2 = \tilde{P}_1^2 + \tilde{P}_2^2 + 2\tilde{P}_1 \tilde{P}_2 = 2m_0^2c^2 + 2\tilde{P}_1 \tilde{P}_2$
- And after some algebra we can obtain for relativistic particles:

$$E_{cm} \cong 2\sqrt{E_1 E_2}$$


ALS Energy Calibration (Motivation)

Improvement of the determination of particle masses
obtained from resonant depolarization of polarized e^+e^- beams


Particle	World average value (MeV)	Experimental results (MeV)	Year publication	Accuracy improvement
K^\pm	493.84 \pm 0.13	493.670 \pm 0.029	1979	5
K^0	497.67 \pm 0.13	497.661 \pm 0.033	1987	4
ω	782.40 \pm 0.20	781.780 \pm 0.10	1983	2
ϕ	1019.7 \pm 0.24	1019.52 \pm 0.13	1975	2.5
J/ψ	3097.1 \pm 0.90	3096.93 \pm 0.09	1981	10
ψ'	3685.3 \pm 1.20	3686.00 \pm 0.10	1981	10
Υ	9456.2 \pm 9.50	9460.59 \pm 0.12	1986	80
Υ'	10016.0 \pm 10.	10023.6 \pm 0.5	1984	20
Υ''	10347.0 \pm 10.	10355.3 \pm 0.5	1984	20

- Using resonant depolarization allows an ultra high precision measurement of the beam energy
- Many applications: precise determination of particle masses, ...

Main Physics Result (LEP)



- Using resonant depolarization allows an ultra high precision measurement of the beam energy
- Another application: resonance linewidths. Example of LEP: Precision measurement of Z_0 width allowed conclusion that only 3 lepton families with light neutrinos exist.



- In terms of accelerator physics it is often important to know beam energy precisely (cross check of magnetic measurement data, direct measurement of momentum compaction factor with high resolution).
- At synchrotron light sources a reasonable stability of the beam energy is important (energy stability of undulator beams, etc.) which can be verified with resonant depolarization.

How Does It Work

- Spin motion of non radiating electron \Rightarrow BMT-equation:


$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{ds} = \vec{\Omega}_{\text{lab}} \times \vec{S}$$

for $\gamma \gg 1$


$$\vec{\Omega}_{\text{lab}} = \frac{e}{m_e c \gamma_{\text{lab}}} \left((1 + a)\vec{B}_{\parallel} + (1 + \gamma_{\text{lab}} a)\vec{B}_{\perp} \right)$$

a : gyromagnetic anomaly $a = 1.159652 \cdot 10^{-3}$
for electrons and 1.792846 for protons

- flat ring $\Rightarrow \nu_{sp} = \gamma a$
- only vertical component of spin is stable



How Does It Work (2)




	VEPP[10]	VEPP2-M[11]	ACO[8,9]	BESSY[44]	SPEAR[45]	VEPP4[46]
$E(\text{GeV})$	0.640	0.625	0.536	0.800	3.70	5.0
$\tau_p(\text{min})$	50	70	160	150	15	40
$P(\%)$	52	90	90	>75	>70	80
	DORIS II[47]	CESR[48]	PETRA[49]	HERA[19]	TRISTAN[50]	LEP[51]
$E(\text{GeV})$	5.0	4.7	16.5	26.7	29	46.5
$\tau_p(\text{min})$	4	300	18	40	2	300
$P(\%)$	80	30*	80**	70**	75**	57**

- radiating leptons \Rightarrow polarization buildup (Sokolov-Ternov effect):

$$P = A \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{pol}}}} \right), \quad \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{pol}}} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{c\lambda_c r_e}{2\pi} \frac{\gamma^5}{\rho^3}$$

- has been observed at most lepton storage rings that have looked for the effect.


ALS Typical Polarization Buildup Times




- Even though the polarization buildup time for a given ring strongly depends on the beam energy, it has about the same order of magnitude for most lepton storage rings.
- Reason is that it also scales with the bending radius and machines with higher energy typically have to have much larger bending radius to keep equilibrium emittance small and SR losses acceptable.

Depolarizing Resonances

- **Depolarization** due to **resonant** coupling of spin precession with **horizontal magnetic fields**
- **intrinsic resonances**: vertical betatron oscillations \Rightarrow horizontal magnetic fields in quadrupoles (and sextupoles ...)
resonance condition: $\gamma a = (kP \pm Q_z)$
- **imperfection resonances**: magnet errors (field- and position errors) \Rightarrow closed orbit distortions
resonance condition: $\gamma a = k$
- weaker resonances: gradient errors, coupling, sextupoles, synchrotron satellites




Equilibrium Polarization and Depolarizing Resonances



- Equilibrium of self polarization and resonances depends on energy.
- Resonance strength increases with energy.
- Imperfection resonance strength scales with the closed orbit error
- Intrinsic resonance strengths scales with the vertical emittance

Simulations of Equilibrium Polarization



- Using spin tracking codes, one can calculate the equilibrium between polarizing and depolarizing effects.
- Using the simulations, one can optimize the correction techniques (orbit correction, harmonic spin matching, coupling correction, ...)
- Correction is much faster, if one has a good model of the machine lattice (predictive spin matching).

Polarimeters


$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} \cdot (1 + P_T P_B A_z(\theta))$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = \left[\frac{\alpha(4 - \sin^2 \theta)}{2E_e^{CMS} \sin^2 \theta} \right]^2$$

$$A_z(\theta) = \frac{(-\sin^2 \theta)(8 - \sin^2 \theta)}{(4 - \sin^2 \theta)^2}$$



- All polarimeters use asymmetry in scattering cross sections
- Compton-polarimeters (laser photons hitting beam, spatial asymmetry in backscattered photons), Møller polarimeters (polarized electrons on polarized electrons mostly in target foils), Mott polarimeters, ...
- Storage rings typically use Compton polarimeters (nearly non-destructive).
- If Touschek lifetime contribution is significant one can use simple polarimeter: Touschek scattering is Møller scattering. **Møller scattering** cross section depends on polarization (polarized beams have longer Touschek lifetime!).
- depolarization reduces **Touschek lifetime** by up to 20%

Example: ALS – Touschek Lifetime




- Møller scattering cross section depends on polarization
- depolarization changes (reduces) Touschek lifetime by up to 20%
- experimentally simple: stripline kicker for tune measurement is sufficient + gamma telescope
- partial depolarization allows for ‘fast’, multiple measurements

Energy Stability




- partial depolarization allows better accuracy in sweeping measurements
- energy stable to a about $\pm 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ within a week without rf-frequency feedback - much better with ...

Effects that Change the Energy




- ❑ Circumference of ring changes (temperature inside/outside, tides, water levels, seasons, differential magnet saturation,)
- ❑ RF keeps frequency fixed - beam energy will change
- ❑ Instead measure dispersion trajectory and correct frequency (at ALS once a second)
- ❑ Can see characteristic frequencies of all the effects in FFT (8h, 12h, 24h, 1 year)
- ❑ Verified energy stability (a few 10^{-5}) with resonant depolarization

Application: Momentum Compaction Factor Measurement




- resonant depolarization allows a precise measurement of the momentum compaction factor
- $\alpha = (1.628 \pm 0.004) \cdot 10^{-3}$
- for some machines, it could be used to measure nonlinear α terms



Back to LEP – Other Effects



- Many electroweak precision measurements
- Precise energy calibration essential
- Found many interesting effects: Tides, Lake Geneva, TGV, ...




Tides at LEP




- Average tides of oceans about 0.5 m (locally much larger)
- Average tidal variation of solid ground about 1/3 of that!
- Tides cause local change in earth radius - change in ring circumference - beam energy change (scales only with momentum compaction factor, not with the size of the machine - effect is about equally strong at light sources like ESRF as it was ta LEP).
- For LEP this was very significant effect, far larger than precision of energy needed
- Measurements with resonant depolarization agreed very well with tidal predictions

The TGV ...




- ❑ Large noise in magnetic dipole field found
- ❑ Stopped overnight
- ❑ Intensive search - accidental discovery (on French holiday)
- ❑ Return currents of TGV





The TGV: explanation

- Measured distribution of current on LEP vacuum chamber
- Reconstructed path of return currents from TGV




Other Energy Measurement Techniques



- ❑ Measuring energy spectrum of Compton backscattered (laser) photons
 - ❑ high energy edge is well defined (laser photon energy + γ^2 Lorentz boost)
 - ❑ Addition of line spectrum from radioactive decay allows easy online calibration
 - ❑ Advantage is relatively fast measurement - No polarization necessary
 - ❑ Disadvantage is lower precision
- Substantial progress by quantifying effects of energy spread, emittance, detector acceptance, ... - now resolution almost comparable to depolarization

Further Techniques



- ❑ Measuring the photon energy spectrum from an undulator allows fast beam energy measurement (with moderate resolution)
- ❑ Magnetic field data of undulator has to be very well known
- ❑ Monochromator has to be well understood
- ❑ Another possibility is to calculate the beam energy based on magnetic measurements (either off-line or on-line with NMR probes) plus the readings of BPMs


Summary

- Energy calibration is a (very high) precision tool to measure some global lattice characteristics and study long term behavior of accelerators.
- The most precise technique uses resonant depolarization of a beam which typically was self-polarized by Sokolov-Ternov effect.
 - Was essential for precise determination of many particle masses and for the determination of the Z_0 width, which allowed to conclude that only three (light) lepton generations exist.
- Allows absolute measurement of momentum compaction factor (otherwise fairly difficult to measure) with very high precision.

Backup Slides

Energy frontier: Particle Physics

- For very long time particle physics has been driving accelerator development – higher and higher energies, while simultaneously higher luminosity
- Reasons:
 - Resolution
 - Particle production thresholds
- Particles once thought of as elementary have been shown to be composites ...



Fermilab 95-759

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p}, \text{ de Broglie wavelength}$$

$$E = mc^2, \text{ Energy - mass relation (Einstein)}$$